Distortion-transmission trade-off in realtime transmission of Markov sources

Jhelum Chakravorty and Aditya Mahajan

McGill University

IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW) 28 April, 2015







Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)



$$Y_t = \begin{cases} X_t, & \text{if } U_t = 1 \\ \epsilon, & \text{if } U_t = 0 \end{cases}$$



 $X_{t+1} = X_t + W_t$ $U_t = f_t(X_{1:t}, U_{1:t-1})$









Communication Strategies

- Transmission strategy $f = \{f_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$.
- Estimation strategy $g = \{g_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$.





1. Discounted setup, $\beta \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{g}) = (1-\beta) \mathbb{E}_{0}^{(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{g})} \left[\sum_{\mathsf{t}=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\mathsf{t}} \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{t}} - \hat{\mathsf{X}}_{\mathsf{t}}) \right]; \qquad \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{g}) = (1-\beta) \mathbb{E}_{0}^{(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{g})} \left[\sum_{\mathsf{t}=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\mathsf{t}} \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{t}} \right]$$



Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)



1. Discounted setup, $\beta \in (0,1)$

$$D_{\beta}(f,g) = (1-\beta) \mathbb{E}_{0}^{(f,g)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} d(X_{t} - \hat{X}_{t}) \right]; \qquad N_{\beta}(f,g) = (1-\beta) \mathbb{E}_{0}^{(f,g)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} U_{t} \right]$$

2. Average cost setup, $\beta = 1$

$$D_{1}(f,g) = \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{0}^{(f,g)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} d(X_{t} - \hat{X}_{t}) \right]; \qquad N_{1}(f,g) = \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{0}^{(f,g)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} U_{t} \right]$$

Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)

Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, g^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, g)} \left\{ \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, g) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, g) \right\}$

For any
$$\alpha \in (0,1)$$
, $D^*_{\beta}(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(f,g)} \left\{ D_{\beta}(f,g) : N_{\beta}(f,g) \leqslant \alpha \right\}$



Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, g^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, g)} \left\{ \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, g) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, g) \right\}$

For any
$$\alpha \in (0,1)$$
, $D^*_{\beta}(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(f,g)} \left\{ D_{\beta}(f,g) : N_{\beta}(f,g) \leqslant \alpha \right\}$





Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, g^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, g)} \left\{ \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, g) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, g) \right\}$

For any
$$\alpha \in (0,1)$$
, $D^*_{\beta}(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(f,g)} \left\{ D_{\beta}(f,g) : N_{\beta}(f,g) \leqslant \alpha \right\}$







Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, \mathsf{g}^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g})} \left\{ D_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) \right\}$

Constrained communication

$$\text{For any } \alpha \in (0,1), \quad \mathsf{D}^*_\beta(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathfrak{f},g)} \left\{ \mathsf{D}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},g) : \mathsf{N}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},g) \leqslant \alpha \right\}$$



Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)

Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, \mathsf{g}^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g})} \left\{ D_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) \right\}$

Constrained communication

$$\text{For any } \alpha \in (0,1), \quad \mathsf{D}^*_\beta(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathfrak{f},g)} \big\{ \mathsf{D}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},g) : \mathsf{N}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},g) \leqslant \alpha \big\}$$



Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)

Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, \mathsf{g}^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g})} \left\{ \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) \right\}$

$$\text{For any } \alpha \in (0,1), \quad \mathsf{D}^*_\beta(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g})} \big\{ \mathsf{D}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g}) : \mathsf{N}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g}) \leqslant \alpha \big\}$$





Costly communication

 $\text{For any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = C_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}^{*}, \mathsf{g}^{*}; \lambda) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g})} \left\{ D_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) + \lambda \mathsf{N}_{\beta}(\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{g}) \right\}$

$$\text{For any } \alpha \in (0,1), \quad \mathsf{D}^*_\beta(\alpha) \coloneqq \inf_{(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g})} \big\{ \mathsf{D}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g}) : \mathsf{N}_\beta(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g}) \leqslant \alpha \big\}$$



- Costly communication is analogous to communication under power constraint.
- > Distortion-transmission is analogous to distortion-rate trade-off.



- Costly communication is analogous to communication under power constraint.
- > Distortion-transmission is analogous to distortion-rate trade-off.
- The source reconstruction must be done in **real-time** (or with zero delay).



- > Costly communication is analogous to communication under power constraint.
- > Distortion-transmission is analogous to distortion-rate trade-off.
- The source reconstruction must be done in **real-time** (or with zero delay).

Comparison to real-time communication

- Special case of the real-time communication model [Witsenhausen 1979, Walrand-Varaiya 1983, Teneketzis 2006, Teneketzis-Mahajan 2009 . . .].
- Existing results in the literature establish structure of optimal coding strategies and a dynamic program to identify optimal strategies.
- ► The resultant dynamic programs correspond to decentralized control problem and are hard to solve.

- > Costly communication is analogous to communication under power constraint.
- > Distortion-transmission is analogous to distortion-rate trade-off.
- The source reconstruction must be done in **real-time** (or with zero delay).

Comparison to real-time communication

- Special case of the real-time communication model [Witsenhausen 1979, Walrand-Varaiya 1983, Teneketzis 2006, Teneketzis-Mahajan 2009 ...].
- Existing results in the literature establish structure of optimal coding strategies and a dynamic program to identify optimal strategies.
- ► The resultant dynamic programs correspond to decentralized control problem and are hard to solve.

Our approach

- > Previous results have established the structure of optimal strategies.
- Exploit the structural results to explicitly identify optimal strategies.



Modeling assumptions

Markov chain setup

State spaces $X_t, W_t \in \mathbb{Z}$

Guass-Markov setup

 $X_t\text{, }W_t\in\mathbb{R}$



Modeling assumptions

Markov chain setup

State spaces $X_t, W_t \in \mathbb{Z}$

Noise distribution Unimodal and symmetric

 $p_e = p_{-e} \geqslant p_{e+1}$

Guass-Markov setup

 X_t , $W_t \in \mathbb{R}$

Zero-mean Gaussian $\phi_{\sigma}(\cdot)$





Modeling assumptions

Markov chain setup

State spaces $X_t, W_t \in \mathbb{Z}$

Noise distribution Unimodal and symmetric

 $p_e = p_{-e} \geqslant p_{e+1}$

Distortion Even and increasing $d(e) = d(-e) \ge d(e+1)$ Guass-Markov setup

 X_t , $W_t \in \mathbb{R}$

Zero-mean Gaussian $\phi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\cdot})$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Mean-squared} \\ \text{d}(e) = |e|^2 \end{array}$







Step 2 Performance of arbitrary threshold strategies $f^{(k)}$







Step 2 Performance of arbitrary threshold strategies $f^{(k)}$



Search space of strategies (f, g)









Step 4 Distortion-transmission trade-off









Step 1 Structure of optimal strategies

Model the communication system as decentralized stochastic control

- Two decision makers: transmitter and receiver. Non-nested information.
- Common-information approach [Nayyar-Mahajan-Teneketzis 2013]

Equivalent centralized problem from the point of view of a coordinator.

Choose code functions at each step (rather than actions).



Step 1 Structure of optimal strategies

Model the communication system as decentralized stochastic control

- Two decision makers: transmitter and receiver. Non-nested information.
- Common-information approach [Nayyar-Mahajan-Teneketzis 2013]

Equivalent centralized problem from the point of view of a coordinator.

Choose code functions at each step (rather than actions).

Previous results

- ► Guass-Markov setup [Lipsa-Martins 2009 and 2011, Molin-Hirche 2009]
- Markov-chain setup [Nayyar-Başar-Teneketzis-Veeravalli 2013]

Step 1 Structure of optimal strategies

Model the communication system as decentralized stochastic control

- Two decision makers: transmitter and receiver. Non-nested information.
- Common-information approach [Nayyar-Mahajan-Teneketzis 2013] Equivalent centralized problem from the point of view of a coordinator.

Choose code functions at each step (rather than actions).

Previous results

- ► Guass-Markov setup [Lipsa-Martins 2009 and 2011, Molin-Hirche 2009]
- Markov-chain setup [Nayyar-Başar-Teneketzis-Veeravalli 2013]

Proof idea: Majorization-based partial order on belief states.

Prove that $\pi \succeq_{\mathfrak{m}} \phi \Longrightarrow V(\pi) \geqslant V(\phi)$.



Step 1 Structure of optimal estimator (Nayyar et al, 2013)

$$Z_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t = \begin{cases} X_t & \text{if } U_t = 1; \\ Z_{t-1} & \text{if } U_t = 0. \end{cases}$$

The estimator can keep track of Z_t as follows:

$$Z_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t = \begin{cases} Y_t & \text{if } Y_t \neq \epsilon; \\ Z_{t-1} & \text{if } Y_t = \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Step 1 Structure of optimal estimator (Nayyar et al, 2013)

$$\label{eq:constraint} Z_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t = \begin{cases} X_t & \text{if } U_t = 1; \\ Z_{t-1} & \text{if } U_t = 0. \end{cases}$$

The estimator can keep track of Z_t as follows:

$$Z_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t = \begin{cases} Y_t & \text{ if } Y_t \neq \epsilon; \\ Z_{t-1} & \text{ if } Y_t = \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 The process $\{Z_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is a sufficient statistic at the estimator and an optimal estimation strategy is given by

$$\hat{X}_{t} = \mathbf{g}_{t}^{*}(Z_{t}) = Z_{t}$$
(*)

Remark > The optimal estimation strategy is time-homogeneous and can be specified in closed form.



Step 1 Structure of optimal transmitter (Nayyar et al)

Error process Let $E_t=X_t-Z_{t-1}$ denote the error process. $\{E_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is a controlled Markov process where

$$\mathsf{E}_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{E}_{t+1} = n \mid \mathsf{E}_t = e, \mathsf{U}_t = u) = \begin{cases} p_{|e-n|}, & \text{if } u = 0; \\ p_n, & \text{if } u = 1. \end{cases}$$



Step 1 Structure of optimal transmitter (Nayyar et al)

Error process Let $E_t = X_t - Z_{t-1}$ denote the error process. $\{E_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is a controlled Markov process where

$$\mathsf{E}_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{E}_{t+1} = \mathfrak{n} \mid \mathsf{E}_t = e, \mathsf{U}_t = \mathfrak{u}) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{p}_{|e-\mathfrak{n}|}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{u} = \mathsf{0}; \\ \mathsf{p}_\mathfrak{n}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{u} = \mathsf{1}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2 When the estimation strategy is of the form (*), then $\{E_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is a sufficient statistic at the transmitter.

Furthermore, an optimal transmission strategy is characterized by a time-varying threshold $\{k_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$, i.e.,

$$U_t = f_t(E_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |E_t| \geqslant k_t; \\ 0 & \text{if } |E_t| < k_t. \end{cases}$$





Step 1 Main idea

Restrict attention to time-homogeneous estimation strategies of the form $\hat{X}_t = q_t^*(Z_t) = Z_t$.

Consider the problem of finding the **best-response** transmission strategy.

Under appropriate technical conditions, the best-response strategy is time-homogeneous.


Step 1 Main idea

Restrict attention to time-homogeneous estimation strategies of the form $\hat{X}_t = g_t^*(Z_t) = Z_t.$

Consider the problem of finding the best-response transmission strategy.

Under appropriate technical conditions, the best-response strategy is time-homogeneous.

Find the best treshold-based strategy within the class $\mathcal{F} = \{f^{(k)} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ where $f^{(k)}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| \geq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Search space of strategies (f, g)



Consider a threshold-based strategy

$$f^{(k)}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| \ge k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





Consider a threshold-based strategy

$$f^{(k)}(e) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if} |e| \geqslant k \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Let $\tau^{(k)}$ denote the stopping time of first transmission (starting at $E_0 = 0$).





Consider a threshold-based strategy

$$f^{(k)}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| \geqslant k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Let $\tau^{(k)}$ denote the stopping time of first transmission (starting at $E_0 = 0$).



Define
$$\mathbf{k} \mathbf{L}_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{k})} = (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau^{(\mathbf{k})} - 1} \beta^{t} d(\mathbf{E}_{t}) \middle| \mathbf{E}_{0} = 0 \right].$$

 $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{M}_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{k})} = (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau^{(\mathbf{k})} - 1} \beta^{t} \middle| \mathbf{E}_{0} = 0 \right].$



Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)

Consider a threshold-based strategy

$$f^{(k)}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| \geqslant k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Let $\tau^{(k)}$ denote the stopping time of first transmission (starting at $E_0 = 0$).



Define
$$\mathbf{k} \mathbf{L}_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{k})} = (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau^{(\mathbf{k})} - 1} \beta^{t} d(\mathbf{E}_{t}) \middle| \mathbf{E}_{0} = 0 \right]$$

 $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{M}_{\beta}^{(\mathbf{k})} = (1 - \beta) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau^{(\mathbf{k})} - 1} \beta^{t} \middle| \mathbf{E}_{0} = 0 \right].$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Proposition} \quad \{E_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \mbox{ is a regenerative process and by renewal theory, we have that} \\ D_{\beta}^{(k)} \coloneqq D_{\beta}(f^{(k)},g^*) = \frac{L_{\beta}^{(k)}}{M_{\beta}^{(k)}} \quad \mbox{and} \quad N_{\beta}^{(k)} \coloneqq N_{\beta}(f^{(k)},g^*) = \frac{1}{M_{\beta}^{(k)}} - (1-\beta). \end{array}$

Step 2 Computing $D_{\beta}^{(k)}$ and $N_{\beta}^{(k)}$

Notation
$$\blacktriangleright S^{(k)} = \{-(k-1), ..., k-1\}.$$

 $\blacktriangleright [P^{(k)}]_{ij} = p_{|i-j|} \text{, for } i, j \in \mathbb{S}^{(k)}.$

•
$$[d^{(k)}]_i = d(i)$$
, for $i \in S^{(k)}$.

• $[\mathbf{1}^{(k)}]_i = 1$, for $i \in S^{(k)}$.







Step 2 Computing $D_{\beta}^{(k)}$ and $N_{\beta}^{(k)}$

Notation
$$\blacktriangleright S^{(k)} = \{-(k-1), \dots, k-1\}.$$

 $\blacktriangleright [P^{(k)}]_{ij} = p_{|i-j|}, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{S}^{(k)}.$

•
$$[d^{(k)}]_i = d(i)$$
, for $i \in S^{(k)}$.

• $[\mathbf{1}^{(k)}]_i = 1$, for $i \in S^{(k)}$.



Proposition ►
$$L_{\beta}^{(k)} = \left[[I - \beta P^{(k)}]^{-1} d^{(k)} \right]_{0}$$
.
► $M_{\beta}^{(k)} = \left[[I - \beta P^{(k)}]^{-1} \mathbf{1}^{(k)} \right]_{0}$.



Step 2 Computing $D_{\beta}^{(k)}$ and $N_{\beta}^{(k)}$

Notation
$$\blacktriangleright S^{(k)} = \{-(k-1), ..., k-1\}.$$

 $\blacktriangleright [P^{(k)}]_{ij} = p_{|i-j|}, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{S}^{(k)}.$

•
$$[d^{(k)}]_i = d(i)$$
, for $i \in S^{(k)}$.

• $[\mathbf{1}^{(k)}]_i = 1$, for $i \in S^{(k)}$.



Proposition
$$\blacktriangleright L_{\beta}^{(k)} = \left[[I - \beta P^{(k)}]^{-1} d^{(k)} \right]_{0}.$$

 $\blacktriangleright M_{\beta}^{(k)} = \left[[I - \beta P^{(k)}]^{-1} \mathbf{1}^{(k)} \right]_{0}.$



$$D_{\beta}^{(k)}$$
 and $N_{\beta}^{(k)}$ can be computed using these expressions.









$$\label{eq:monotonicity} \begin{split} \text{Monotonicity} \qquad \quad L_{\beta}^{(k+1)} > L_{\beta}^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\beta}^{(k+1)} > M_{\beta}^{(k)} \end{split}$$

Depends on unimodularity of noise



$$\label{eq:monotonicity} \begin{split} \text{Monotonicity} \qquad \quad L_{\beta}^{(k+1)} > L_{\beta}^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\beta}^{(k+1)} > M_{\beta}^{(k)} \end{split}$$

Implication:

 $D_{\beta}^{(k+1)} \geqslant D_{\beta}^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\beta}^{(k+1)} < N_{\beta}^{(k)}$

Use DP and monotonicity of Bellman operator



Monotonicity
$$L_{eta}^{(k+1)} > L_{eta}^{(k)}$$
 and $M_{eta}^{(k+1)} > M_{eta}^{(k)}$

Implication:

$$\mathsf{D}^{(k+1)}_{eta} \geqslant \mathsf{D}^{(k)}_{eta}$$
 and $\mathsf{N}^{(k+1)}_{eta} < \mathsf{N}^{(k)}_{eta}$

 $\label{eq:submodularity} \begin{array}{ll} \text{Submodularity} & C_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda) \coloneqq D_{\beta}^{(k)} + \lambda N_{\beta}^{(k)} \text{ is submodular in } (k,\lambda). \end{array}$



Monotonicity
$$L_{\beta}^{(k+1)} > L_{\beta}^{(k)}$$
 and $M_{\beta}^{(k+1)} > M_{\beta}^{(k)}$

Implication:

$$\mathsf{D}^{(k+1)}_{eta} \geqslant \mathsf{D}^{(k)}_{eta}$$
 and $\mathsf{N}^{(k+1)}_{eta} < \mathsf{N}^{(k)}_{eta}$

 $\label{eq:submodularity} \begin{array}{ll} Submodularity & C_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda)\coloneqq D_{\beta}^{(k)}+\lambda N_{\beta}^{(k)} \text{ is submodular in } (k,\lambda). \end{array}$

$$\text{Proof: } C_{\beta}^{(k+1)}(\lambda) - C_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda) = D_{\beta}^{(k+1)}(\lambda) - D_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda) - \lambda(\underbrace{N_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda) - N_{\beta}^{(k+1)}(\lambda)}_{\geqslant 0}).$$



Monotonicity
$$L_{eta}^{(k+1)} > L_{eta}^{(k)}$$
 and $M_{eta}^{(k+1)} > M_{eta}^{(k)}$

Implication:

$$\mathsf{D}^{(k+1)}_{eta} \geqslant \mathsf{D}^{(k)}_{eta}$$
 and $\mathsf{N}^{(k+1)}_{eta} < \mathsf{N}^{(k)}_{eta}$

 $\label{eq:submodularity} \begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Submodularity} & \mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda)\coloneqq\mathsf{D}_{\beta}^{(k)}+\lambda\mathsf{N}_{\beta}^{(k)} \text{ is submodular in } (k,\lambda). \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Proposition} & k^*_\beta(\lambda)\coloneqq \arg\min_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}} C^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda) \text{ is increasing in } \lambda. \end{array}$



Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)

Monotonicity
$$L_{eta}^{(k+1)} > L_{eta}^{(k)}$$
 and $M_{eta}^{(k+1)} > M_{eta}^{(k)}$

Implication:

$$\mathsf{D}^{(k+1)}_{eta} \geqslant \mathsf{D}^{(k)}_{eta}$$
 and $\mathsf{N}^{(k+1)}_{eta} < \mathsf{N}^{(k)}_{eta}$

 $\label{eq:submodularity} \begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Submodularity} & \mathsf{C}_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda)\coloneqq\mathsf{D}_{\beta}^{(k)}+\lambda\mathsf{N}_{\beta}^{(k)} \text{ is submodular in } (k,\lambda). \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Proposition} \quad k^*_\beta(\lambda)\coloneqq \arg\min_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} C^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda) \text{ is increasing in } \lambda. \end{array}$

$$\text{Define } \Lambda_{\beta}^{(k)} \coloneqq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} : k_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = k\} = [\lambda_{\beta}^{(k-1)}, \lambda_{\beta}^{(k)}].$$

Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)











Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)













Theorem For all $\lambda \in (\lambda_{\beta}^{(k)}, \lambda_{\beta}^{(k+1)}]$ the threshold strategy $f^{(k+1)}$ is optimal. • $C_{\beta}^{*}(\lambda) = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} C_{\beta}^{(k)}$ is piecewise linear, continuous, concave, and increasing function of λ .













Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality

A strategy (f°,g°) is optimal for the constrained communication problem if

(C1) $N_{\beta}(f^{\circ}, g^{\circ}) = \alpha$



Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality

A strategy (f°,g°) is optimal for the constrained communication problem if

(C1) $N_{\beta}(f^{\circ}, g^{\circ}) = \alpha$





Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality

A strategy (f°,g°) is optimal for the constrained communication problem if

(C1) $N_{\beta}(f^{\circ}, g^{\circ}) = \alpha$





Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality

A strategy (f°, g°) is optimal for the constrained communication problem if

(C1) $N_{\beta}(f^{\circ}, g^{\circ}) = \alpha$





Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality

A strategy (f°, g°) is optimal for the constrained communication problem if

(C1) $N_{\beta}(f^{\circ}, g^{\circ}) = \alpha$





Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality







Sufficient conditions for constrained optimality







Optimal strategy

$$f^{*}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| > k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 1 & \text{w.p. } \theta^{*} \text{ if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \theta^{*} \text{ if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{if } |e| < k_{\beta}^{*} \end{cases}$$



Optimal strategy

$$f^{*}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| > k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 1 & \text{w.p. } \theta^{*} & \text{if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \theta^{*} & \text{if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{if } |e| < k_{\beta}^{*} \end{cases}$$

Randomized action at a single state



Optimal strategy

$$f^{*}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| > k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 1 & \text{w.p. } \theta^{*} & \text{if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \theta^{*} & \text{if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{if } |e| < k_{\beta}^{*} \end{cases}$$

Randomized action at a single state

Deterministic implementation

Time-sharing strategies

- Assume $\theta^* = a/(a+b)$.
- Choose strategy f^(k*) for a visits to state zero and strategy f^(k*+1) for b visits to state zero and so on.



Optimal strategy

$$f^{*}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |e| > k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 1 & \text{w.p. } \theta^{*} \text{ if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \theta^{*} \text{ if } |e| = k_{\beta}^{*} \\ 0 & \text{if } |e| < k_{\beta}^{*} \end{cases}$$

Randomized action at a single state

Deterministic implementation

Time-sharing strategies

- Assume $\theta^* = a/(a+b)$.
- Choose strategy f^(k*) for a visits to state zero and strategy f^(k*+1) for b visits to state zero and so on.

Steering strategies:

- Let a_t^i denote the number of times action i is chosen in the past.
- ▶ At states {-k^{*}, k^{*}} choose an action that steers the empirical frequency closer to the desired randomization probability.



An example: Symmetric birth-death Markov Chain



$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } |i-j| = 1;\\ 1-2p, & \text{if } i = j;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{where } p \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \qquad d(e) = |e|$$

Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)


An example: Symmetric birth-death Markov Chain



Discounted cost Let $K_{\beta} = -2 - (1 - \beta)/\beta p$ and $m_{\beta} = \cosh^{-1}(-K_{\beta}/2)$.

$$D_{\beta}^{(k)} = \frac{\sinh(km_{\beta}) - k\sinh(m_{\beta})}{2\sinh^{2}(km_{\beta}/2)\sinh(m_{\beta})}$$
$$N_{\beta}^{(k)} = \frac{2\beta p \sinh^{2}(m_{\beta}/2)\cosh(km_{\beta})}{\sinh^{2}(km_{\beta}/2)} - (1 - \beta)$$

Average cost
$$D_1^{(k)} = \frac{k^2 - 1}{3k}$$
 and $N_1^{(k)} = \frac{2p}{k^2}$



An example: Symmetric birth-death Markov Chain



Discounted cost Let $K_{\beta} = -2 - (1 - \beta)/\beta p$ and $m_{\beta} = \cosh^{-1}(-K_{\beta}/2)$.

$$\begin{split} D_{\beta}^{(k)} &= \frac{\sinh(km_{\beta}) - k\sinh(m_{\beta})}{2\sinh^2(km_{\beta}/2)\sinh(m_{\beta})} \\ N_{\beta}^{(k)} &= \frac{2\beta p \sinh^2(m_{\beta}/2)\cosh(km_{\beta})}{\sinh^2(km_{\beta}/2)} - (1 - \beta) \end{split}$$

 $\lambda_{\beta}^{(k)}$ can be computed in terms of $D_{\beta}^{(k)}$ and $N_{\beta}^{(k)}.$

Average cost
$$D_1^{(k)} = \frac{k^2 - 1}{3k}$$
 and $N_1^{(k)} = \frac{2p}{k^2}$

$$\lambda_1^{(k)} = \frac{k(k+1)(k^2+k+1)}{6p(2k+1)}$$

Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)







An example: Symmetric birth-death Markov Chain



Discounted cost Let $K_{\beta} = -2 - (1 - \beta)/\beta p$ and $m_{\beta} = \cosh^{-1}(-K_{\beta}/2)$.

$$\begin{split} D_{\beta}^{(k)} &= \frac{\sinh(km_{\beta}) - k\sinh(m_{\beta})}{2\sinh^{2}(km_{\beta}/2)\sinh(m_{\beta})} \\ N_{\beta}^{(k)} &= \frac{2\beta p\sinh^{2}(m_{\beta}/2)\cosh(km_{\beta})}{\sinh^{2}(km_{\beta}/2)} - (1-\beta) \\ \\ k_{\beta}^{*} &= \sup\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \frac{\sinh^{2}(m_{\beta}/2)\cosh(km_{\beta})}{\sinh^{2}(km_{\beta}/2)} \geq \frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{2\beta p}\right\} \end{split}$$

Average cost $D_1^{(k)} = \frac{k^2 - 1}{3k}$ and $N_1^{(k)} = \frac{2p}{k^2}$

$$k_1^* = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{2p}{\alpha}} \right\rfloor$$

Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)







Distortion-transmission trade-off- (Chakravorty and Mahajan)



Summary



















Analyze a fundamental trade-off in real-time communication



Conclusion

Analyze a fundamental trade-off in real-time communication

Possible generalizations (where the proposed approach may work)

- Symmetric finite sources
- Erasure channels



Conclusion

Analyze a fundamental trade-off in real-time communication

Possible generalizations (where the proposed approach may work)

- Symmetric finite sources
- Erasure channels

More realistic models (where the proposed approach will fail)

- Non-symmetric sources
- Rate constraints (affect of quantization)
- Network delays



Conclusion

Analyze a fundamental trade-off in real-time communication

Possible generalizations (where the proposed approach may work)

- Symmetric finite sources
- Erasure channels

More realistic models (where the proposed approach will fail)

- Non-symmetric sources
- Rate constraints (affect of quantization)
- Network delays

Full version to be posted on arxiv soon.



